

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Bos. 1450
Alexandria. Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/823,546	04/14/2004	Je-hyoung Ryu	1572.1242	4401	
21171	7590 06/28/2006		EXAMINER		
STAAS & HALSEY LLP			NGUYEN, TUNG X		
JIM LIVINGSTON SUITE 700			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
1201 NEW YORK AVENUE, N.W.			2829		
WASHINGTO	ON, DC 20005		DATE MAILED: 06/28/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

		11
Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/823,546	RYU ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Tung X. Nguyen	2829	

before the rining of all Appear 2.10.	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Tung X. Nguyen	2829	-
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 09 June 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP	PLICATION IN CONDITION FOR A	LOWANCE.	
1.  The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or or this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Not a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliantime periods:	n the same day as filing a Notice of wing replies: (1) an amendment, aff otice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in o	Appeal. To avoid aba idavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date	e of the final rejection.		
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	g date of the final rejecti	on.
TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7 Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date	(06.07(t).	36/a) and the appropria	to extension fee
have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office late may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b	dension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig r than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The appropr inally set in the final Offi	iate extension fee ce action; or (2) as
NOTICE OF APPEAL  Output  A brief in com-	nliance with 27 CER 41 27 must be	filed within two month	as of the date of
<ol> <li>The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comfiling the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any external a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed.</li> </ol>	ension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th	e appeal. Since
AMENDMENTS	to a minute standard of filling a balas	will mad be amborood by	
<ol> <li>The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,</li> <li>They raise new issues that would require further companies.</li> </ol>	but prior to the date of filing a brief,	, will <u>flot</u> be efficied b	ecause
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below		12 501011/1,	
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or	etter form for appeal by materially re	ducing or simplifying	the issues for
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally rej	ected claims.	
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.	116 and 41.33(a)).		
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1	21. See attached Notice of Non-Co	mpliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s			
<ol> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ol>			
7.  For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is profile that status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1.3.4 and 11. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:	⊠ will not be entered, or b) ∐ wi ovided below or appended.	II be entered and an o	explanation of
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, b because applicant failed to provide a showing of good ar was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>	nd sufficient reasons why the affidat	vit or other evidence i	s necessary and
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessa</li> </ol>	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe ry and was not earlier presented. S	al and/or appellant fa see 37 CFR 41.33(d)(	ils to provide a 1).
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	on of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attac	ned.
11. ☐ The request for reconsideration has been considered b	ut does NOT place the application i	n condition for allowa	nce because:
12.  Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).  13.  Other:	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper N	JERMELE H	Hoff DLLINGTON EXAMINED
		Au 2820 06/22	10.4
		06/22	106

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 7-05)

Part of Paper No. 20060620

Continuation of 3. NOTE: As to claim 1, the new limitations "wherein each semiconductor device accommodator is capable of accommodating the semiconductor device within the horizontal partition wall and the vertical partition wall" would require further consideration and search. Furthermore, the examiner would like to note that claim limitations that employ phrases of the type "CAPABLE OF" is typical of claim limitations which may not distinguish over the prior art. It has been held that the recitation that an element is "capable of" performing a function is not a positive limitation but only requires the ablitity to so perform. See MPEP 2111.04